

105							
77/	TRADEM	<b>E</b>		<b>FEE TRANSMITTAL F</b>	OR FY 2006		
'	HAUG	fective on	12/08/20			<b>1</b> 818).	
Effective on 12/08/2004. Fees pursuant to the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005 (H.R. 4818).							
				TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAY	MENT (\$) <u>0</u>		
Comple	ete if Kno	own:			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	tion No.		09/96	3 246			
				mber 26, 2001			
	ate						
		entor		liam Daugherty			
	er Name	·		y D. Carlson			
Art Unit	t		3622				
Attorne	y Docke	t No	00656	60.P016			
		Applica	ant clain	ns small entity status. See 37	CFR 1.27.		
	<del>-</del>	, (pp.:e.					
METH	OD OF I	PAYME	NT (che	ck all that apply)	_		
	_ Check		_ Cred	it Card Money Oı	der <u>X</u> None <u> </u>	her (please identify)	
X	Depos	it Accou	nt				
	-			nt Number : <u>02-2666</u>			
		•		nt Name:			
v	The Di-	ocposit	Authori	zed to do the following with re	spect to the above-identified	Denosit Account:	
<u> X</u>	THE DI				spect to the above racinting	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	
		Charge	tee(s) i	ndicated below.			
	X	Charge	any addi	tional fee(s) or underpayment of t	ee(s) during the pendency of this	application.	
	<u>x</u>			ndicated below except for the	filing fee		
	X	Credit	any ove	rpayments.			
	X	Any cor	ncurrent	or future reply that requires a peti	tion for extension of time should	be treated as	
		incorpo	rating an	appropriate petition for extensio	n of time and all required fees sho	ould be charged.	
Warning	ı İnforma	tion on th	nis form r	nay become public. Credit card i	nformation should not be included	d on this form.	
Provide	credit ca	rd inform	ation and	authorization on PTO-2038.			
FEE C	ALCUL.	ATION					
1. BASIC FILING, SEARCH, AND EXAMINATION FEES							
1. BAS	SIC FILI	NG, SE	ARCH,	AND EXAMINATION FEES		<u> </u>	
1. <u>BAS</u>	SIC FILI	NG, SE	ARCH,	AND EXAMINATION FEES			
				AND EXAMINATION FEES			
Large E	Entity	Small I	Entity	AND EXAMINATION FEES			
Large E Fee	Entity Fee	Small I Fee	Entity Fee			Fees Paid (\$)	
<u>Large E</u> Fee Code	Entity Fee (\$)	Small I Fee Code	Entity Fee (\$)	Fee Description		Fees Paid (\$)	
Large E Fee Code 1011	Entity Fee (\$) 300	Small I Fee Code 2011	Entity Fee (\$) 150	Fee Description Utility application filing fee	4.000/500	Fees Paid (\$)	
Large E Fee Code 1011 1111	Entity Fee (\$) 300 500	Small I Fee Code 2011 2111	Entity Fee (\$) 150 250	Fee Description Utility application filing fee Utility search fee	1,000/500	Fees Paid (\$)	
Large E Fee Code 1011	Entity Fee (\$) 300	Small I Fee Code 2011	Entity Fee (\$) 150	Fee Description Utility application filing fee	1,000/500	Fees Paid (\$)	
Large E Fee Code 1011 1111	Entity Fee (\$) 300 500	Small I Fee Code 2011 2111	Entity Fee (\$) 150 250	Fee Description Utility application filing fee Utility search fee	1,000/500	Fees Paid (\$)	
<u>Large E</u> Fee Code 1011 1111	Fee (\$) 300 500 200	Small I Fee Code 2011 2111 2311	Entity Fee (\$) 150 250 100	Fee Description Utility application filing fee Utility search fee Utility examination fee	}		
Large E Fee Code 1011 1111 1311	Entity Fee (\$) 300 500 200	Small I Fee Code 2011 2111 2311	Entity Fee (\$) 150 250 100	Fee Description Utility application filing fee Utility search fee Utility examination fee Design application filing fee	}	Fees Paid (\$)	
Large E Fee Code 1011 1111 1311	Entity Fee (\$) 300 500 200	Small I Fee Code 2011 2111 2311 2012 2112	Entity Fee (\$) 150 250 100	Fee Description Utility application filing fee Utility search fee Utility examination fee  Design application filing fee Design search fee	}		
Large E Fee Code 1011 1111 1311	Entity Fee (\$) 300 500 200	Small I Fee Code 2011 2111 2311	Entity Fee (\$) 150 250 100	Fee Description Utility application filing fee Utility search fee Utility examination fee Design application filing fee	}		
Large E Fee Code 1011 1111 1311 1012 1112 1312	Entity Fee (\$) 300 500 200 200 100 130	Small I Fee Code 2011 2111 2311 2012 2112 2312	Entity Fee (\$) 150 250 100  100 50 65	Fee Description Utility application filing fee Utility search fee Utility examination fee  Design application filing fee Design search fee Design examination fee	}		
Large E Fee Code 1011 1111 1311	Entity Fee (\$) 300 500 200	Small I Fee Code 2011 2111 2311 2012 2112	Entity Fee (\$) 150 250 100  100 50 65	Fee Description Utility application filing fee Utility search fee Utility examination fee  Design application filing fee Design search fee Design examination fee Plant filing fee	430/215		
Large E Fee Code 1011 1111 1311 1012 1112 1312	Entity Fee (\$) 300 500 200 200 100 130	Small I Fee Code 2011 2111 2311 2012 2112 2312	Entity Fee (\$) 150 250 100  100 50 65	Fee Description Utility application filing fee Utility search fee Utility examination fee  Design application filing fee Design search fee Design examination fee  Plant filing fee Plant search fee	}		
Large E Fee Code 1011 1111 1311 1012 1112 1312 1013 1113	Entity Fee (\$) 300 500 200 200 100 130	Small I Fee Code 2011 2111 2311 2012 2112 2312	Entity Fee (\$) 150 250 100  100 50 65	Fee Description Utility application filing fee Utility search fee Utility examination fee  Design application filing fee Design search fee Design examination fee Plant filing fee	430/215		
Large E Fee Code 1011 1111 1311 1012 1112 1312	Entity Fee (\$) 300 500 200 200 100 130 200 300	Small I Fee Code 2011 2111 2311 2012 2112 2312 2013 2113	Entity Fee (\$) 150 250 100  100 50 65 100 150	Fee Description Utility application filing fee Utility search fee Utility examination fee  Design application filing fee Design search fee Design examination fee  Plant filing fee Plant search fee	430/215		
Large E Fee Code 1011 1111 1311  1012 1112 1312  1013 1113 1313	Entity Fee (\$) 300 500 200 200 100 130 200 300 160	Small I Fee Code 2011 2111 2311 2012 2112 2312 2013 2113 2313	Entity Fee (\$) 150 250 100  100 50 65 100 150 80	Fee Description Utility application filing fee Utility search fee Utility examination fee  Design application filing fee Design search fee Design examination fee  Plant filing fee Plant search fee Plant examination fee	430/215		
Large E Fee Code 1011 1111 1311  1012 1112 1312  1013 1113 1313	Entity Fee (\$) 300 500 200 200 100 130 200 300 160	Small I Fee Code 2011 2111 2311 2012 2112 2312 2013 2113 2313 2004	Entity Fee (\$) 150 250 100  100 50 65 100 150 80	Fee Description Utility application filing fee Utility search fee Utility examination fee  Design application filing fee Design search fee Design examination fee  Plant filing fee Plant search fee Plant examination fee  Reissue filing fee	430/215		
Large E Fee Code 1011 1111 1311  1012 1112 1312  1013 1113 1313  1004 1114	Entity Fee (\$) 300 500 200 200 100 130 200 300 160 300 500	Small I Fee Code 2011 2111 2311 2012 2112 2312 2013 2113 2313 2004 2114	Entity Fee (\$) 150 250 100  100 50 65 100 150 80 150 250	Fee Description Utility application filing fee Utility search fee Utility examination fee  Design application filing fee Design search fee Design examination fee  Plant filing fee Plant search fee Plant examination fee  Reissue filing fee Reissue search fee	430/215		
Large E Fee Code 1011 1111 1311  1012 1112 1312  1013 1113 1313	Entity Fee (\$) 300 500 200 200 100 130 200 300 160	Small I Fee Code 2011 2111 2311 2012 2112 2312 2013 2113 2313 2004	Entity Fee (\$) 150 250 100  100 50 65 100 150 80	Fee Description Utility application filing fee Utility search fee Utility examination fee  Design application filing fee Design search fee Design examination fee  Plant filing fee Plant search fee Plant examination fee  Reissue filing fee	430/215		
Large E Fee Code 1011 1111 1311  1012 1112 1312  1013 1113 1313  1004 1114	Entity Fee (\$) 300 500 200 200 100 130 200 300 160 300 500	Small I Fee Code 2011 2111 2311 2012 2112 2312 2013 2113 2313 2004 2114	Entity Fee (\$) 150 250 100  100 50 65 100 150 80 150 250	Fee Description Utility application filing fee Utility search fee Utility examination fee  Design application filing fee Design search fee Design examination fee  Plant filing fee Plant search fee Plant examination fee  Reissue filing fee Reissue search fee	430/215		
Large E Fee Code 1011 1111 1311 1012 1112 1312 1013 1113 1313 1004 1114 1314	Entity Fee (\$) 300 500 200  200 100 130 200 300 160 300 500 600	Small I Fee Code 2011 2111 2311 2012 2112 2312 2013 2113 2313 2004 2114 2314	Entity Fee (\$) 150 250 100  100 50 65 100 150 80 150 250 300	Fee Description Utility application filing fee Utility search fee Utility examination fee  Design application filing fee Design search fee Design examination fee  Plant filing fee Plant search fee Plant examination fee  Reissue filing fee Reissue search fee Reissue examination fee	430/215  430/215  660/330  1,400/700		
Large E Fee Code 1011 1111 1311  1012 1112 1312  1013 1113 1313  1004 1114	Entity Fee (\$) 300 500 200 200 100 130 200 300 160 300 500	Small I Fee Code 2011 2111 2311 2012 2112 2312 2013 2113 2313 2004 2114	Entity Fee (\$) 150 250 100  100 50 65 100 150 80 150 250	Fee Description Utility application filing fee Utility search fee Utility examination fee  Design application filing fee Design search fee Design examination fee  Plant filing fee Plant search fee Plant examination fee  Reissue filing fee Reissue search fee	430/215  430/215  660/330  1,400/700		
Large E Fee Code 1011 1111 1311 1012 1112 1312 1013 1113 1313 1004 1114 1314	Entity Fee (\$) 300 500 200  200 100 130 200 300 160 300 500 600	Small I Fee Code 2011 2111 2311 2012 2112 2312 2013 2113 2313 2004 2114 2314	Entity Fee (\$) 150 250 100  100 50 65 100 150 80 150 250 300	Fee Description Utility application filing fee Utility search fee Utility examination fee  Design application filing fee Design search fee Design examination fee  Plant filing fee Plant search fee Plant examination fee  Reissue filing fee Reissue search fee Reissue examination fee	430/215 430/215 660/330 1,400/700 g fee		
Large E Fee Code 1011 1111 1311 1012 1112 1312 1013 1113 1313 1004 1114 1314	Entity Fee (\$) 300 500 200  200 100 130 200 300 160 300 500 600	Small I Fee Code 2011 2111 2311 2012 2112 2312 2013 2113 2313 2004 2114 2314	Entity Fee (\$) 150 250 100  100 50 65 100 150 80 150 250 300	Fee Description Utility application filing fee Utility search fee Utility examination fee  Design application filing fee Design search fee Design examination fee  Plant filing fee Plant search fee Plant examination fee  Reissue filing fee Reissue search fee Reissue examination fee	430/215  430/215  660/330  1,400/700		
Large E Fee Code 1011 1111 1311 1012 1112 1312 1013 1113 1313 1004 1114 1314	Entity Fee (\$) 300 500 200  200 100 130 200 300 160 300 500 600	Small I Fee Code 2011 2111 2311 2012 2112 2312 2013 2113 2313 2004 2114 2314	Entity Fee (\$) 150 250 100  100 50 65 100 150 80 150 250 300	Fee Description Utility application filing fee Utility search fee Utility examination fee  Design application filing fee Design search fee Design examination fee  Plant filing fee Plant search fee Plant examination fee  Reissue filing fee Reissue search fee Reissue examination fee	430/215 430/215 660/330 1,400/700 g fee		

2. EXCESS CLAIM FEES						
	Extra Claims	Fee from <u>Fees Paid (\$)</u>				
HP = highest number of total cla	- 20 or HP = ims paid for, if greater than 20 - 3 or HP = dent claims paid for, if greater than 3	x \$50.00 = x \$200.00 = =				
Large Entity         Small Entity           Fee         Fee         Fee           Code         (\$)         Code         (\$)           1202         50         2202         25           1201         200         2201         100           1203         360         2203         180           1204         200         2204         100           1205         50         2205         25	Fee Description Each claim over 20 Each independent claim over 3 Multiple dependent claims, if not paid Reissue: each claim over 20 and more t Reissue: each independent claim more	than in the original patent than in the original patent  SUBTOTAL (2) \$0				
3. APPLICATION SIZE FEE  If the specification and drawings exceed 100 sheets of paper, the application size fee due is \$250 (\$125 for small entity) for each additional 50 sheets or fraction thereof. See 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(1)(G) and 37 CFR 1.16(s).  Number of each add'I Fee from						
	Sheets 50 or fraction thereof  / 50 = (round up to whole num	below <u>Fees paid (\$)</u> mber) X <u>\$250.00</u> 0				
Large Entity         Small Entity           Fee         Fee         Fee         Fee           Code         (\$)         Code         (\$)           1081         250         2081         125           1082         250         2082         125           1083         250         2083         125           1084         250         2084         125	Fee Description: Application size fee beyond initial 100 sheets (count spec & count	for each additional group of 50 sheets drawings except sequences & program listings):				
		SUBTOTAL (3) \$0				

FEE CALCULATION (continued)					
4. 0	THER FE	E(S)		•	
" -		_1_1			Fees Paid (\$)
Non-F	nalish Sn	ecification	\$130 fee	(no small entity discount)	rees raid (\$)
Non-English Specification, \$130 fee (no small entity discount)					
Large		Small E			
Fee	Fee	Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Eco Description	
Code 1051	(\$) 130	2051	(\$) 65	Fee Description Surcharge - late filing fee or oath	
1051	50	2052	25	Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet	
1053	130	1053	130	Non-English specification	
1812	2,520	1812	2,520	For filing a request for ex parte reexamination	
1813	8,800	1813	8,800	Request for inter parties reexamination	
1804	920*	1804	920*	Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action	
1805	1,840*	1805	1,840*	Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action	
1251	120	2251	60	Extension for reply within first month	
1252	450	2252	225	Extension for reply within second month	<del></del>
1253	1,020	2253	510 705	Extension for reply within third month	
1254	1,590	2254	795	Extension for reply within fourth month	
1255 1401	2,160 500	2255 2401	1,080 250	Extension for reply within fifth month Notice of Appeal	
1401	500 500	2401	250 250	Filing a brief in support of an appeal	
1403	1,000	2403	500	Request for oral hearing	<del></del>
1451	1,510	1451	1,510	Petition to institute a public use proceeding	
1452	500	2452	250	Petition to revive – unavoidable	
1453	1,500	2453	750	Petition to revive - unintentional	
1501	1,400	2501	700	Utility issue fee (or reissue)	
1502	800	2502	400	Design issue fee	
1503	1100	2503	550	Plant issue fee	
1462	400	1462	400	Petitions to the Commissioner (CFR 1.17(f) Group I)	
1463	200	1463	200	Petitions to the Commissioner (CFR 1.17(g) Group II)	
1464	130	1464	130 50	Petitions to the Commissioner (CFR 1.17(h) Group III) Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(q)	
1807 1806	50 180	1807 1806	180	Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt	
8021	40	8021	40	Recording each patent assignment per	
0021	70	0021	70	property (times number of properties)	
1809	790	2809	395	For filing a submission after final rejection	
			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	(see 37 CFR 1.129(a))	
1814	130	2814	65	Statutory Disclaimer	
1810	790	2810	395	For each additional invention to be examined	
				(see 37 CFR 1.129(b))	
1801	790	2801	395	Request for Continued Examination (RCE)	
1802	900	1802	900	Request for expedited examination of a design	
		4504	200	application	
1504	300	1504	300	Publication fee for early, voluntary, or normal pub.	
1505	300 130	1505 1803	300 130	Publication fee for republication Request for voluntary publication or republication	
1803 1808	130 130	1808	130	Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(i) (except provisionals)	
1454	1,370	1454	1,370	Acceptance of unintentionally delayed claim for priority	,
	ŕ			,	
Other	fee (speci	fy)			
044	faa /a *	£. 1)			
Other	ree (speci	'Y)			
				SUBTOTAL (4) \$ _	0
		c Filing Fee	Paid		
SUBM	NITTED B	<u>Y</u> :			
		_	_	/ Jannifor House	
Typed	or Print	ed Name:	1 — <u> </u>	// Jennifer Hayes	
Signa	ture: 💪	/// ,	/	// Date: November 20, 2006	
		// /			
Reg. Number:					
Send to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Algxandria, VA 22313-1450					
Send to: Commissioner top Fatents, F.O. Box 1430, Adoxandria, VA 22313-1430					

<i>3008</i>	<u>w</u>						
	Æ)			AMENDMENT TR	ANSMITTAL		PATENT
Add	<b>ර/</b> plicati	on No.:	09/963.246	-			<u></u>
	ing Da	te:	September 26,	2001 gherty			
Fire	st Nan	ned Inventor _	F. William Dau	gherty			
	amıne t Unit:	r's Name:	Jeffrey D. Carl 3622	son	<del></del>		
		Docket No.:	006560.P016		<del></del>		
'			000000.1 010				
				•		nd applicant(s) request	expedited action.
-	_ X			• •	•	Account No. 02-2666.	
-	X	future repl appropriat CFR 1.16	y that requires a e length of time and 1.17, for an	petition for extens and (2) charge all	ion of time as inc required fees, inc ure reply to Depo	corporating a petition fo	treat any concurrent or r extension of time for the e fees and fees under 37 6.
AT	TACH	MENTS					
		Preliminary Am	nendment				
	_	Amendment/Re	esponse with res	pect to Office Acti	on		
			•	•		er: consider filing a Noti	ce of Appeal)
		Notice of Appe	•	•	, ,		
_			for Continued E	xamination)			
_		Supplemental I	Declaration				
		Terminal Discla	aimer (reminder:	if executed by an	attorney, the atto	rney must be properly	of record)
			closure Stateme	-	•		·
		Copies of IDS		, ,			
		•	ension of Time				
		Fee Transmitta	I Document (tha	t includes a fee ca	lculation based o	n the type and number	of claims)
			ce to Related Ap			,.	•
-			of Priority Docur	•			
					(2 pgs.) and Am	ended Appeal Brief (30	pas)
		Other:			<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		
-		Check(s)					
->		Postcard (Retu	rn Receipt)				
SU	BMITT	ED BY:					
BLA	AKELY	SOKOLOFF TA	YLOR & ZAFMAN	LLP			
TY	PED O	R PRINTED NAM	/IE: Jennifer Ha	eves ) /			
1	SNATU	/ . 1	7	N/n		·	
	G. NO.	77 17	50.845	A)			
		·	17				
	TE: _		November			· -	
ADI	DRESS		<u>e Boulevard, Seve</u>	nth Floor	E-101		
		Los Angeles,	Alifornia 90025				
TEI	LEPHO	NE NO.: (408) 7	<b>2</b> 0-8300				
			CERTIF	ICATE OF MAILING	BY FIRST CLASS	MAIL (if applicable)	
۱						-1-101	
an	ereby c envelo	erury that this cor be addressed to t	responaence is be the Commissioner	ing deposited with tr for Patents, P.O. Bo	ie Oniteo States Po x 1450, Alexandria	Virginia 22313-1450	mail with sufficient postage in
on				Novembe	er 20, 2006		
					Deposit C. Bates		
-				Name of Person Ma	ling Corresponden	¢e	2.2 2 2 2
I		Sie	relect 1	aut		premuer-	10, 2005
1	`	7	Signature		V	Date	, ,
1	$\overline{}$	<del>,                                     </del>					
Exp	oress M	fail Label No. (if a	applicable): N/A				

For: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR FACILITATING INFORMATION REQUESTS

Mail Stop – Appeal Briefs Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

# AMENDED APPEAL BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF APPELLANT'S APPEAL TO THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Appellant hereby submits this Amended Brief in support of his/her appeal from a final decision by the Examiner, mailed on March 9, 2006, in the above-referenced case. The Appellant respectfully requests consideration of this appeal by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences for allowance of the above-referenced patent application.

#### First-Class Certificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail with sufficient postage in an envelope addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C., 20231 on:

November 20, 2006

Date of Deposit

Ariana C. Bates

Name of Person Mailing Correspondence

Serial No. 09/963,246 Examiner: Jeffrey D. Carlson

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>Pag</u>	<u>e</u>
I.	REAL PARTY IN INTEREST	4
II.	RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES	4
III.	STATUS OF THE CLAIMS	4
IV.	STATUS OF AMENDMENTS	4
V.	SUMMARY OF THE CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER	4
VI.	GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL	7
VII.	ARGUMENT	8
A	A. CLAIMS 1-20 AND 26	8
	1. REJECTION OF THE PRESENT INVENTION IS IMPROPER BECAUSE NO PRIOR ART REFERENCE TEACHES OR SUGGESTS PROVIDING INFORMATION BASED ON A SELECTABLE DELIVERY OPTION IN AN ADVERTISEMENT AND USER INPUT THAT DIFFERS WITH THE SELECTED DELIVERY OPTION	
	2. REJECTION OF THE PRESENT INVENTION IS IMPROPER BECAUSE THERE IS NO MOTIVATION TO COMBINE THE CITED ART TO ARRIVE AT THE CLAIMED INVENTION	2
E	3. CLAIMS 21-25 AND 27 1	4
	1. REJECTION OF THE PRESENT INVENTION IS IMPROPER BECAUSE NO PRIOR ART REFERENCE TEACHES OR SUGGESTS PROVIDING AN INDICATION OF A SELECTION OF A SELECTABLE DELIVERY OPTION IN AN ADVERTISEMENT AND USER INPUT THAT DIFFERS WITH THE SELECTED DELIVERY OPTION	<b>1</b> E
	2. REJECTION OF THE PRESENT INVENTION IS IMPROPER BECAUSE THERE IS NO MOTIVATION TO COMBINE THE CITED ART TO ARRIVE AT THE CLAIMED INVENTION	5
VIII.	CONCLUSION	7

IX.	APPENDIX A	. 19
X.	APPENDIX B: RELATED PROCEEDINGS	. 29
XI.	APPENDIX C: EVIDENCE	. 30

I. REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

The real party in interest is IAC Search & Media, Inc., a corporation of

Delaware having a principle place of business at 555 12th Street, Suite 500,

Oakland, CA 94607.

II. RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

There are no related appeals or interferences.

III. STATUS OF THE CLAIMS

Claims 1-27 are currently pending and stand rejected by the Examiner under

the Final Rejection mailed on March 9, 2006.

IV. STATUS OF AMENDMENTS

No amendment after final was filed. A copy of all claims on appeal is

attached in Appendix A hereto.

V. SUMMARY OF THE CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER

Embodiments of the claimed invention include systems, methods and articles

of manufacture that provide a user several choices as to additional sources of

information and provide a personalize response based on a user's selection. (See

page 1, lines 27-31 of the present specification.)

Serial No. 09/963,246 Examiner: Jeffrey D. Carlson

#### <u>Claims 1-20 and 26</u>

An advertisement is served having a plurality of associated selectable options. Each of the selectable options is associated with a different information delivery process, such as, for example, email, mail and/or a link. Other exemplary options for providing the additional information to the user include special deal, but now, deliver now, download now, stream/see/listen now, make a reservation and the like. A user selects one of these selectable options, and additional information is received from the user depending on which of the selectable options is selected by the user. The additional information requested is then sent using the process selected by the user using the information provided by the user. (See page 4, line22 – page 6, line 13 and Figures 2 and 3 of the present specification.)

As shown in the embodiment illustrated in Figure 2 and discussed above, exemplary selectable options in an advertisement include "show more information," "email more information" and "send more information." The user selects one of these (or other) options, and the input required from the user depends on which option is selected. For example, if the user selects "email more information," the server must have an e-mail address to which the information can be emailed. Thus, the input received from the user is an email address. In contrast, if, for example, the user selects "send more information," the server typically needs a home address to which the information can be sent. Thus, the input received from the user is a home address, which differs from an email

address. It is clear that the input needed from the user differs depending on the option selected in the advertisement. (See page 4, line22 – page 6, line 13 and Figures 2 and 3 of the present specification.)

The information can then be delivered to the user as indicated by the user selection using the input received after the selection. (See page 6, lines 14-24 of the present specification.)

#### Claims 21-25 and 27

An object is displayed on a website having a plurality of associated selectable options. Each of the selectable options is associated with a different information delivery process, such as, for example, email, mail and/or a link. Other exemplary options for providing the additional information to the user include special deal, but now, deliver now, download now, stream/see/listen now, make a reservation and the like. A user selects one of these selectable options, and additional information is received from the user depending on which of the selectable options is selected by the user. The additional information requested is then sent using the process selected by the user using the information provided by the user. (See page 4, line22 – page 6, line 13 and Figures 2 and 3 of the present specification.)

As shown in the embodiment illustrated in Figure 2 and discussed above, exemplary selectable options in an advertisement include "show more information," "email more information" and "send more information." The user

Serial No. 09/963,246 Examiner: Jeffrey D. Carlson

selects one of these (or other) options, and the input required from the user depends on which option is selected. For example, if the user selects "email more information," the server must have an e-mail address to which the information can be emailed. Thus, the input received from the user is an email address. In contrast, if, for example, the user selects "send more information," the server typically needs a home address to which the information can be sent. Thus, the input received from the user is a home address, which differs from an email address. It is clear that the input needed from the user differs depending on the option selected in the advertisement. (See page 4, line22 – page 6, line 13 and Figures 2 and 3 of the present specification.)

The user selection generates information that may be of interest to third parties, such as, advertisers. For example, it is of interest to advertisers to know which displays produced requests for more information, email, brochures, and which categories of users made which requests, etc. A tracking system can monitor the activity and provide the information to a third party or another web site. (See page 6, lines 25-30 of the present specification.)

## VI. GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL

The grounds of rejection to be revealed on appeal are:

• Whether claims 1-20 and 26 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being obvious over Davis, et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,138,155, hereinafter "Davis") in view of Marsh, et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,848,397, hereinafter "Marsh").

 Whether claims 21-25 and 27 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being obvious over Davis in view of Marsh.

#### VII. ARGUMENT

#### A. CLAIMS 1-20 AND 26

1. REJECTION OF THE PRESENT INVENTION IS IMPROPER BECAUSE NO PRIOR ART REFERENCE TEACHES OR SUGGESTS PROVIDING INFORMATION BASED ON A SELECTABLE DELIVERY OPTION IN AN ADVERTISEMENT AND USER INPUT THAT DIFFERS WITH THE SELECTED DELIVERY OPTION.

## Independent claim 1 recites:

- 1. A method for providing information to a user accessing a World Wide Web site, comprising:
- (i) serving an advertisement associated with a first World Wide Web site, wherein said advertisement has a plurality of associated selectable options that are displayed when said advertisement is displayed, further wherein each of said plurality of selectable options has an associated information delivery process, wherein the associated information delivery process differs for each of said plurality of selectable options;
- (ii) receiving an indication of a selection of said one plurality of selectable options associated with said advertisement;
- (iii) receiving an input from the user identifying where the information is to be sent, the input differing depending on the selection made in (ii); and
- (iv) providing information associated with said advertisement via said information delivery process associated with the selected one of said plurality of selectable options.

Similar limitations are included in independent claims 17 and 26.

Independent claims 1, 17 and 26 are rejected as being unpatentable over

Davis in view of Marsh.

Davis is directed to a system and method for monitoring and profiling users based on their interaction with a system. The system creates a complex historical user profile to target an ad banner based upon the diverse interests of respective users. Davis explains that when a user is exposed to an ad banner having information targeted to their particular interests, the user is more likely to interact with that ad banner for a longer period of time and on a more frequent basis.

Davis further explains that an ad banner may include specific information permitting the user to interact in different ways with the banner. Davis explains that an ad banner may have pull-down menu options, clickable buttons or "hotspots, keyboard input, or any number of input mechanisms, whose selection or action upon in a designated manner causes corresponding events to take place in the ad banner such as the generation or synthesis of sounds, the display of images, video, or graphic animations, or the presentation of different types of information to the user, perhaps with additional choices. Such information may, for example, include links to interactive games, links to entertainment information sports-related information and/or trivia, and the like, or information concerning particular goods and services, or means by which to order or purchase specific goods and services.

Davis does not disclose that any of these input mechanisms are associated with an information delivery process that differs for each selectable option.

Similarly, Davis does not disclose that input from the user is received identifying where information is to be sent.

Moreover, Davis does not disclose that the input entered depends on which selectable option is selected by the user.

The Examiner submits Marsh discloses an ad banner that allows users to request for information to the email of the banner-associated vendor and submits that it would be obvious to have provided several convenient options for delivery of information through well known communication channels, each of which would require different types of information in order for fulfillment based on this teaching. Applicants respectfully disagree.

Marsh is directed to a system and method for scheduling advertisement displays. One such advertisement is a banner advertisement, which may be interactive. The user may be provided with additional information concerning the subject matter of the banner by clicking on a specified portion of the banner advertisement. Marsh discloses that: "Likewise, the user may access an e-mail message template including the e-mail address of a vendor associated with the banner advertisement being displayed" or cause an e-mail message to be automatically completed.

However, the user in Marsh has no control over how the information is delivered to the user. The user does not have more than one selectable option having differing delivery processes.

The only method described in Marsh for requesting additional information is e-mail. And, the input from the user does not depend on the selection made for requesting additional information because only one selection can be made in Marsh: e-mail.

Moreover, the system in Marsh is off-line. Marsh teaches that it presents advertisements only when the system is off-line or when a connection is being made to the system. See Col. 6, line 66 – col. 7, line 6.

The Examiner submits that Marsh's teaching for requesting information by email would motivate one to offer other well known delivery options, such as by telephone, postal mail, fax, etc., in order to offer a variety of convenient information, and that both David and Marsh teach plural interactive elements on a banner. Applicants respectfully disagree.

The interactive elements in Davis do not have an information delivery process associated with the interactive elements that requires different input from the user. In particular, receiving sound, videos, etc. do not require any input from the user.

The only delivery option contemplated by Marsh is email. Neither Davis nor Marsh disclose sending information to a user by telephone, postal mail, fax, etc. Thus, Marsh and Davis fail to disclose differing information delivery processes that would require different user input.

Serial No. 09/963,246 Examiner: Jeffrey D. Carlson

Thus, neither Davis, Marsh nor any other reference cited by the Examiner teaches or suggests providing information based on a selectable delivery option in an advertisement and user input that differs with the selected delivery option.

2. REJECTION OF THE PRESENT INVENTION IS IMPROPER BECAUSE THERE IS NO MOTIVATION TO COMBINE THE CITED ART TO ARRIVE AT THE CLAIMED INVENTION

The Examiner submits that "The obviousness rejection set forth would provide for plural interaction options on the banner ad, each of which corresponds to 'learn more via telephone' and 'learn more via postal mail' in addition to 'learn more via email' option. Clearly each of these requires different types of information in order for fulfillment."

Applicants respectfully submit the Examiner is using impermissible hindsight, based on Applicant's own disclosure, to combine Davis and Marsh to arrive at the presently claimed invention.

As discussed above, Marsh is directed to a system and method for scheduling displays of advertisements. The banner ads in Marsh are presented to users, and the user's interaction with the ad banners can be tracked to gain additional information about the banner ad. The information acquired by the system in Marsh is used to schedule and target future banner ads to the user.

Davis provides a method and apparatus for tracking client interaction with a network so that targeted information can be sent to particular users based on their interests and preferences. An ad banner can then be targeted to each user

based on the tracking information. By providing the user with several options the system can also be used to obtain additional user preferences.

Thus, both Davis and Marsh relate to tracking user information. In Marsh, the information is tracked to gain additional information about user preferences so that subsequent advertisements can be better targeted. Thus, in Davis and Marsh, the system is guessing the user's preferences based on their previous interactions with the system.

In contrast, the present invention specifically provides the user several choices as to additional sources of information. The user can choose to obtain the information at once, by email or by conventional delivery services. Whatever the choice, the invention is able to provide personalized responses to the user based on the user's choice in the advertisement. Thus, the website operator is able to provide the user the information that the user wants because the user selects the type of information they want to receive and how they would like to receive it by selecting an option presented in the advertisement. This can be accomplished without tracking previous interaction by the user with the system or knowing user preferences.

Thus, there is no motivation to combine the cited art to arrive at the claimed invention. In particular, there is no motivation to combine the cited art to arrive at providing information based on a selectable delivery option in an advertisement and user input that differs with the selected delivery option or providing an

indication of a selection of a selectable delivery option in an advertisement and user input that differs with the selected delivery option.

#### B. CLAIMS 21-25 AND 27

1. REJECTION OF THE PRESENT INVENTION IS
IMPROPER BECAUSE NO PRIOR ART REFERENCE
TEACHES OR SUGGESTS PROVIDING AN INDICATION
OF A SELECTION OF A SELECTABLE DELIVERY OPTION
IN AN ADVERTISEMENT AND USER INPUT THAT
DIFFERS WITH THE SELECTED DELIVERY OPTION.

## Independent claim 21 recites:

- 21. A method for facilitating delivery of third party information to a visitor of a World Wide Web site, comprising:
- (i) displaying a displayable item on a World Wide Web site, wherein said displayable item is associated with a third party and has a plurality of associated selectable options, further wherein each of said plurality of selectable options has an associated information delivery process, wherein the associated information delivery process differs for each of said plurality of selectable options;
- (ii) receiving an indication of a selection of one of said plurality of selectable options associated with said displayable item;
- (iii) receiving an input from the user identifying where the information is to be sent, the *input differing depending on the selection made in (ii)*; and
- (iv) providing an indication of said selection to said third party.

Similar limitations are included in independent claims 25 and 27.

Independent claims 21, 25 and 27 are rejected as being unpatentable over Davis in view of Marsh.

Serial No. 09/963,246 Examiner: Jeffrey D. Carlson

As described above with reference to independent claim 1, neither Davis nor Marsh disclose receiving user input that differs depending on a selection of a selectable option, each option associated with a different delivery process.

Thus, neither Davis, Marsh nor any other reference cited by the Examiner teaches or suggests providing an indication of a selection of a selectable delivery option in an advertisement and user input that differs with the selected delivery option.

2. REJECTION OF THE PRESENT INVENTION IS IMPROPER BECAUSE THERE IS NO MOTIVATION TO COMBINE THE CITED ART TO ARRIVE AT THE CLAIMED INVENTION

The Examiner submits that "The obviousness rejection set forth would provide for plural interaction options on the banner ad, each of which corresponds to 'learn more via telephone' and 'learn more via postal mail' in addition to 'learn more via email' option. Clearly each of these requires different types of information in order for fulfillment."

Applicants respectfully submit the Examiner is using impermissible hindsight, based on Applicant's own disclosure, to combine Davis and Marsh to arrive at the presently claimed invention.

As discussed above, Marsh is directed to a system and method for scheduling displays of advertisements. The banner ads in Marsh are presented to users, and the user's interaction with the ad banners can be tracked to gain

additional information about the banner ad. The information acquired by the system in Marsh is used to schedule and target future banner ads to the user.

Davis provides a method and apparatus for tracking client interaction with a network so that targeted information can be sent to particular users based on their interests and preferences. An ad banner can then be targeted to each user based on the tracking information. By providing the user with several options the system can also be used to obtain additional user preferences.

Thus, both Davis and Marsh relate to tracking user information. In Marsh, the information is tracked to gain additional information about user preferences so that subsequent advertisements can be better targeted. Thus, in Davis and Marsh, the system is guessing the user's preferences based on their previous interactions with the system.

In contrast, the present invention specifically provides the user several choices as to additional sources of information. The user can choose to obtain the information at once, by email or by conventional delivery services. Whatever the choice, the invention is able to provide personalized responses to the user based on the user's choice in the advertisement. Thus, the website operator is able to provide the user the information that the user wants because the user selects the type of information they want to receive and how they would like to receive it by selecting an option presented in the advertisement. This can be accomplished without tracking previous interaction by the user with the system or knowing user preferences.

Thus, there is no motivation to combine the cited art to arrive at the claimed invention. In particular, there is no motivation to combine the cited art to arrive at providing information based on a selectable delivery option in an advertisement and user input that differs with the selected delivery option or providing an indication of a selection of a selectable delivery option in an advertisement and user input that differs with the selected delivery option.

#### VIII. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Appellant respectfully asserts that Claims 1-27 overcome the cited references and are therefore patentable. Those dependent claims not specifically addressed are deemed allowable in view of their dependency from an independent claim as argued above in addition to adding further limitations of their own. For the reasons presented herein, the removal of the present rejections and allowance of the present claims is respectfully requested.

Serial No. 09/963,246 Examiner: Jeffrey D. Carlson

## Charge Our Deposit Account

If there are any further charges not accounted for herein, please charge them to our Deposit Account No. 02-2666.

Respectfully submitted,

Reg./No. 50/845

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Dated: November 20, 2006

12400 Wilshire Boulevard Seventh Floor Los Angeles, California 90025 (408) 720-8300

Serial No. 09/963,246 Examiner: Jeffrey D. Carlson

#### IX. APPENDIX A

The claims on appeal including the amendment mailed by the Appellant on December 12, 2005 read as follows:

- (Previously presented) A method for providing information to a user accessing a World Wide Web site, comprising:
  - (i) serving an advertisement associated with a first World Wide Web site, wherein said advertisement has a plurality of associated selectable options that are displayed when said advertisement is displayed, further wherein each of said plurality of selectable options has an associated information delivery process, wherein the associated information delivery process differs for each of said plurality of selectable options;
  - (ii) receiving an indication of a selection of said one plurality of selectable options associated with said advertisement;
  - (iii) receiving an input from the user identifying where the information is to be sent, the input differing depending on the selection made in (ii); and
  - (iv) providing information associated with said advertisement via said information delivery process associated with the selected one of said plurality of selectable options.

- 2. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein said advertisement has at least one subject matter topic associated with it and said information is directed at least in part to said subject matter topic.
- 3. (Original) The method of claim 1, further comprising:

receiving an indication of personal information used during said providing information associated with said advertisement via said information delivery process.

4. (Original) The method of claim 1, where said providing information associated with said advertisement via said information delivery process includes at least one of the following:

redirecting a browser to a second World Wide Web site containing said information;

providing a hyperlink to a second World Wide Web site, wherein said second World Wide Web site contains said information;

emailing said information;

providing an indication that said information will be provided in an email message;

serving said information as part of a new advertisement associated with said first World Wide Web site;

serving said information as part of said World Wide Web site;

facsimileing said information; and mailing said information to a postal address.

5. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein said indication of a selection of said selectable option associated with said advertisement is received from a user accessing said first World Wide Web site and further comprising: determining personal information associated with said user.

(Original) The method of claim 5, further comprising:
 providing at least a portion of said personal information associated
 with said user to a third party.

7. (Original) The method of claim 5, wherein said information delivery process is based at least in part on said personal information.

8. (Original) The method of claim 5, wherein said personal information includes at least one of the following:

an email address;

a postal address;

an age;

a telephone number;

a facsimile number;

- a user preference;
- a credit card number; and
- a user identifier.
- 9. (Original) The method of claim 5, wherein said determining personal information associated with said user includes:

receiving an indication of said personal information associated with said user.

10. (Original) The method of claim 1, further comprising:

providing an indication of said selection of said selectable option to a third party.

- 11. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein said information associated with said advertisement includes a notice that additional information will be provided by a third party.
- 12. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein said information associated with said advertisement includes a request for consent to receive additional information.

- 13. (Original) The method of claim 11, further comprising:

  receiving an indication of a consent to receive said additional information.
- 14. (Original) The method of claim 11, further comprising: providing said additional information.
- 15. (Original) The method of claim 11, further comprising:

  providing a notice that said additional information will be provided by a third party.
- 16. (Original) The method of claim 11, wherein said information associated with said advertisement includes a request for consent to receive additional information and a notice that said additional information will be provided by a third party.
- 17. (Previously presented) A method for providing information to a user accessing a World Wide Web site, comprising:
  - (i) serving a displayable item associated with a World Wide Web site, wherein said displayable item has at least two associated selectable options and each of said two selectable options has a different associated information delivery process;

(ii) receiving an indication of a selected one of said at least two

selectable options;

(iii) receiving an input from the user identifying where the

information is to be sent, the input differing depending on the selection

made in (ii); and

(iv) providing the information associated with said displayable item

via said information delivery process associated with said selected one of

said at least two selectable options.

18. (Original) The method of claim 17, wherein each of said at least two

associated selectable options has a different information delivery process

associated with it.

19. (Original) The method of claim 17, wherein said displayable item includes

an advertisement.

20. (Original) The method of claim 17, wherein said displayable item includes

at least one of the following:

an image;

a hyperlink associated with at least one of said two selectable

options;

a banner;

Serial No. 09/963,246 Examiner: Jeffrey D. Carlson

a button; and

a badge.

21. (Previously presented) A method for facilitating delivery of third party information to a visitor of a World Wide Web site, comprising:

(i) displaying a displayable item on a World Wide Web site, wherein said displayable item is associated with a third party and has a plurality of associated selectable options, further wherein each of said plurality of selectable options has an associated information delivery process, wherein the associated information delivery process differs for each of said plurality of selectable options;

(ii) receiving an indication of a selection of one of said plurality of selectable options associated with said displayable item;

(iii) receiving an input from the user identifying where the information is to be sent, the input differing depending on the selection made in (ii); and

(iv) providing an indication of said selection to said third party.

22. (Original) The method of claim 21, further comprising:

providing an indication of said information delivery process to said third party.

23. (Original) The method of claim 21, further comprising:

providing contact information to said third party for use in said information delivery process.

24. (Original) The method of claim 23, wherein said contact information includes at least one of the following:

an email address;

a postal address;

a telephone number;

a facsimile number;

a user preference;

a credit card number; and

a user identifier.

- 25. (Previously presented) A method for facilitating information transfer to a user accessing a World Wide Web site, comprising:
  - (i) displaying a displayable item associated with a first World Wide Web site, wherein said displayable item has a plurality of associated selectable options, further wherein each of said plurality of selectable options has an associated information delivery process, and wherein the associated information delivery process differs for each of said plurality of selectable options;

- (ii) receiving an indication of a selection of one of said plurality of selectable options associated with said displayable item;
- (iii) receiving an input from the user identifying where the information is to be sent, the input differing depending on the selection made in (ii); and
- (iv) providing an indication of said selection to a second World Wide Web site.
- 26. (Previously presented) A system for providing information, comprising:

  means for distributing an advertisement associated with a World

  Wide Web site, wherein said advertisement has a plurality of associated

  selectable options that are displayed when said advertisement is displayed,

  further wherein each of said plurality of selectable options has an

  associated information delivery process, and wherein the associated

  information delivery process differs for each of said plurality of selectable

  options;

means for detecting an indication of a selection of one of said plurality of selectable options associated with said advertisement;

means for receiving an input from the user identifying where the information is to be sent, the input differing depending on the selection made; and

means for transmitting information associated with said advertisement via said information delivery process associated with the selected one of said plurality of selectable options.

27. (Previously presented) An article of manufacture, comprising:

computer program means for distributing a displayable item associated with a World Wide Web site, wherein said displayable item has at least two associated selectable options and each of said two selectable options has a different associated information delivery process;

computer program means for detecting an indication of a selected one of said at least two selectable options;

computer program means for receiving an input from the user identifying where the information is to be sent, the input differing depending on the selection made; and

computer program means for transmitting information associated with said displayable item via said information delivery process associated with said selected one of said at least two selectable options.

Serial No. 09/963,246 Examiner: Jeffrey D. Carlson

# X. APPENDIX B: RELATED PROCEEDINGS

None

Serial No. 09/963,246 Examiner: Jeffrey D. Carlson

## XI. APPENDIX C: EVIDENCE

None

Serial No. 09/963,246 Examiner: Jeffrey D. Carlson